Case: 4:23-cv-00008-HEA Doc. #: 8 Filed: 01/03/23 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 224

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI - EASTERN DIVISION -

FRANK WILLIAM BONAN II,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 4:23-cv-00008

v.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants

FDIC'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXPEDITE BRIEFING ON MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff Frank William Bonan II ("Bonan") has had at least six months' advance notice of a scheduled FDIC administrative hearing, which is set to commence on January 17, 2023. *See* Exhs. A (July 1, 2022 order setting Jan. 17 hearing), B (Oct. 27, 2022 joint status report by parties confirming availability for Jan. 17 hearing), C (Nov. 7, 2022 notice cancelling telephonic conference in light of agreement of parties). Yet he waited until tonight (January 3) to file the present collateral attack by seeking a TRO and preliminary injunction in this Court. The FDIC objects to Bonan's proposed expedited briefing schedule, which would have the FDIC's response to the TRO and preliminary injunction motion due by Friday (January 6). Bonan should not be able to manufacture an artificial "emergency" with his own dilatory conduct, and the FDIC

should not be required to prepare a brief in less than three days as a result of Bonan's last-minute gambit.¹

Bonan's sole basis for seeking injunctive relief is that the FDIC administrative proceeding allegedly unconstitutionally deprives him of a right to a jury trial. Putting aside that Congress directed these arguments be raised in the administrative process, not in a collateral attack, *see* 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i), the FDIC proposes a briefing schedule that would conclude in advance of the January 17, 2023 administrative hearing, yet avoid prejudice inherent in having to prepare substantial briefing in less than three days, as Bonan contemplates. In this regard, the FDIC proposes a modified expedited schedule, with the agency's opposition due on January 10, and Bonan's reply due January 13.

Respectfully submitted,

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION Andrew J. Dober Senior Counsel

/s/ Andrew J. Dober

ANDREW DOBER, E.D.Mo. Bar #489638DC Senior Counsel, Corporate Litigation Unit adober@fdic.gov
ERIK BOND, NY Bar Reg. #4316030
Counsel, Corporate Litigation Unit erbond@fdic.gov
3501 N. Fairfax Drive, D-7026
Arlington, VA 22226
Telephone: (703) 562-2545

Fax: (703) 562-2477

Attorneys for Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

DATED: January 3, 2023

¹ In addition to naming the FDIC, Bonan needlessly named the presiding Administrative Law Judge and five FDIC Board members as official capacity defendants. Undersigned counsel has not yet had the opportunity to verify representation for the official capacity defendants and files this motion solely on behalf of the FDIC at this time.

Case: 4:23-cv-00008-HEA Doc. #: 8 Filed: 01/03/23 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 226

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on January 3, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel and parties of record registered to receive such notices.

/s/ Andrew J. Dober Andrew Dober